UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,161	03/23/2004	Toshiya Shozaki	1018775-000884	4323
	7590 04/23/201 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	WASHINGTON, JAMARES		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,161	SHOZAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	A ( 11 14	
Examiner	Art Unit	

<del>-</del>							
	JAMARES WASHINGTON	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued.	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
periods:  a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the linar rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	W 05 055 44 05						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		.oause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven to the plain (a) is (appeal to be a fall appeal).		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Jamares Washington/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presently presented are not persuasive.

Regarding the argument that "it is clear from a careful study of Bannai that those portions of Bannai discuss icon images and original images which have already been decoded."

Examiner disagrees. Bannai explicitly states in Col. 18 lines 13-24 that the contents of the storage unit, 421-1, consists of encoded data pair of an icon image and an original image which are transmitted to the display device (or printer) and decoded independently. Col. 7 lines 64-68 explains that low resolution encoded image data received from another terminal are held and decoding occurs just before printing the image on the printing device but subsequent to receiving the transmitted image data. Therefore, Examiner maintains previous grounds of rejection as set forth in the Final Office Action dated 9 December 2009.